

# CITY OF LANGFORD BYLAW NO. 1926

## A BYLAW TO AMEND BYLAW NO. 1000, "Subdivision and Servicing Bylaw, 2009"

---

The Council of the City of Langford, in open meeting assembled, hereby enacts as follows:

A. Subdivision and Servicing Bylaw No. 1000, 2009 is amended as follows:

1. By deleting the Section 4.0 definition of "City Engineer".
2. By adding to Section 4.0 "Definitions" the following definition in appropriate alphabetical order:  
  
"Director of Engineering" means the person appointed by the City as the Director of Engineering and Public Works (also known as the City Engineer) or any employee authorized to act on their behalf."
3. By amending the Section 4.0 definition of "Director of Parks, Recreation and Facilities" as follows:  
  
"Director of Parks" means the person appointed by the City as the Director of Parks, Recreation and Facilities (also known as the Manager of Parks or Parks Manager) or any employee authorized to act on their behalf."
4. By adding Section 3.1.3.3: "The toe of the retaining wall at any given point must be setback at minimum 2.0 meters from any hard surface for public passage including but not limited to asphalt, concrete, or pavers on highways or walkways to allow for landscape boulevard with trees and irrigation, all as approved by and to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering and Director of Parks."
5. By adding Section 3.1.3.4: "All retaining walls visible from a highway or walkway must include at minimum one separated terrace at a maximum exposed height of 1.2 meters above finished grade with a minimum distance of 1.2 meters between retaining wall structures at a maximum slope of 1:4 (rise/run) to allow for landscape boulevard with shrubbery and irrigation as approved and to the satisfaction of by the Director of Engineering and Director of Parks."
6. By replacing Section 3.1.4. with the following: "Retaining walls similar to stacked rock or boulder stack (non-face mortar), smooth concrete block, smooth cast-in-place concrete, shotcrete, or gabion basket type, or cut or fill slopes requiring visible anchoring, meshing, fencing, or other mechanical stabilization measures within the next 10 years, are not permitted unless part of a comprehensive landscape design as approved by the Director of Parks or otherwise must not be visible from any other private or public property, highway or walkway with exceptions for existing physical limitations, all as approved by and to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering."

7. By replacing Section 3.1.5. with the following: “All retaining walls, and cut or fill slopes, within or reasonably adjacent (within the collapse zone if a failure were to occur) to existing or future public property, highway or walkway including but not limited to roads, rights-of-way, or park dedication must be approved by and to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering and Director of Parks on a case-by-case basis with regard to the effect on surrounding uses, public safety, maintenance, heat reflection, and visual impact.”
  8. By adding Section 3.1.11.: “All retaining walls visible from public property, highways or walkways must be complimentary or consistent with other approved retaining walls in the visible vicinity with regards to type, colour, and pattern as approved by and to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering and Director of Parks.”
  9. By adding Section 4.21.: “Bridges”
  10. By adding Section 4.21.1.: “All bridges must be designed in accordance with CSA S6:19 *Canadian Highway Bridge Design Code* or most recent version thereof, with minimum BCL-625 live loading for vehicular bridges, with foundations designed in accordance with the professional geotechnical engineer of record’s recommendations, with the bridge elevation designed in accordance with the approved stormwater management plan, with the bridge alignment designed in accordance with TAC *Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads*, and authenticated by the professional structural bridge engineer of record, all as approved by and to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering.”
  11. By adding Section 4.21.2.: “All relevant professional work products including but not limited to design drawings and geotechnical reports submitted for approval are to be authenticated in accordance with the EGBC *Guide to the Standard Authentication of Documents*.”
  12. By adding Section 4.21.2.1: “Bridge submittals for approval must confirm at minimum: continuity of the approved road cross-section, vertical/horizontal road alignment continuity, the design standards and maximum loading, geotechnical and seismic compliance, bridge abutment and bank protection, high-water level and freeboard, utility crossing locations and ducts, and public safety features including but not limited to curbs, barriers, bridgerail, guardrail, handrail, or fencing as appropriate.”
  13. By adding Section 4.21.2.2: “Submittal reviews completed by City staff are cursory and a check for general compliance with City bylaws or otherwise for asset management/maintenance purposes and shall not relieve the professional engineer or geoscientist of record or the applicant from their responsibilities for errors or omissions, or of meeting any applicable requirements; no responsibility is assumed for the correctness of dimensions or details. The City’s approval is always contingent upon approval from the Department of Fisheries and Oceans for works within a SPEA or within/near a watercourse and the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure for works within/near a Provincial Highway.”
- B. This Bylaw may be cited for all purposes as “Subdivision and Servicing Bylaw No. 1000, Amendment No. 25, Bylaw No. 1926, 2024”.

READ A FIRST TIME this day of , 2024.

READ A SECOND TIME this day of , 2024.

READ A THIRD TIME this day of , 2024.

ADOPTED this day of , 2024.

---

PRESIDING COUNCIL MEMBER

---

CORPORATE OFFICER