

Capital Tree Service Inc.

Arborist Report 893 Klahanie Drive Langford, BC V9C 3X2 February 19, 2025

Prepared for:

Dan Charboneau

Prepared by:

Capital Tree Service Inc.

Capital Tree Service Inc.

PO Box 53512 Royal Oak Dr, Victoria BC, V8X 5K2

Ph: 250-217-8370, email: joelcreese@capitaltreeservice.ca

capitaltreeservice.ca

GST # 861289783RT0001

WSBC Account #713323

Liability and Professional E and O, HSM Insurance - \$5 Million

Summary/Scope of Work

Capital Tree Service Inc. (CTS) was contacted by Dan Charboneau (Client), a local homeowner, regarding a proposed townhouse subdivision on his property at 893 Klahanie Drive (the Site) in the City of Langford. The Client indicated they required an Arborist Report to move forward with the permit application.

The Client has requested that CTS provide a Basic Visual Tree Assessment (BVTA) and TPP for the Site. CTS agreed to the complete the assessment and provide findings in an Arborist Report Form including a TPP.

Under the current proposal sixty-six (66) bylaw will be retained and protected while two hundred and forty-two (242) bylaw protected trees will be removed. A tree inventory is included as **Appendix 'A'**. Photographs and a Site Plan are included as **Appendix 'B'** of this report.

Methodology

The Site was entered on over December 4-6th, 2024 by CTS for the purpose of conducting tree assessments and collecting inventory. Keegan Durovich, a consulting arborist and representative of CTS, provided the BVTA for the site. The weather on December 4th, 2024, was partly cloudy and 7°C with a 6km/hr breeze. On December 5, 2024, it was cloudy and 4°C with a 7km/hr NE breeze. And finally on December 6, 2024, it was cloudy, 7°C, 8km/hr gusting to 17 NNE

The Site was assessed from grade. No form of diagnostic tools or invasive techniques were used during the assessment, including excavation or assessment of roots below. Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) was measured approximately 1.4m above grade. Measurements and observations were recorded with the intent to provide a static representation of the area. A tree inventory is

included as **Appendix 'A'** of this report. Photographs and a Site Plan are included as **Appendix 'B'** of this report.

During the assessment, three hundred and eight (308) trees were observed – all (308) of which are protected under the current City of Langford Tree Protection Bylaw. Trees referenced in **Appendix 'A'** and located on the site have been tagged. Tags are located approximately 1.5-2m above grade on tree stems and were visible at the time of assessment. Existing tags were utilized where applicable.

Protected Root Zone calculations are based on the ISA recommended one foot for each one inch of trunk diameter (0.3m for each 2.5 cm). Matheny and Clark's 'Trees and Development' was used to assess relative tolerance to Development Impacts.

Observations/Discussion

During the assessment, a large residential lot in a rapidly developing suburban neighborhood was observed. Many of the trees along the north side of the lot have had blasting in close proximity to their locations. The site has a variety of distinct areas, all largely sloping with a WWN aspect down to Klahanie Road. The site was observed to be treed with a variety of native trees with a mostly native understory, with some invasive species present. The client has worked extensively with their other consultants and CTS to mitigate impact to environmentally sensitive/important areas (as identified by their Registered Professional Biologist) and retained trees.

The proposed townhouse development will require the removal of two hundred and forty-two (242) bylaw protected trees due to their location within the edge of excavation or proximity to the edge of excavation. CTS has worked with the client to develop setbacks from the property lines that will allow for the retention of offsite trees. Through conversations about proximity of cuts and tree impacts, a 3m setback from the south property line and 2.5m from the east property line were developed. The setback along the North property line ranges from 1.7m-2.2m. Trees within these setbacks will require removal due to their proximity to the edge of excavation. However, no other work is to be conducted in these areas, although low tree impact landscaping would be acceptable.

By establishing these undisturbed area setbacks, impact to offsite and shared ownership trees have been mitigated. Considering the mitigation measures taken through the development planning process, CTS does not expect site supervision to be necessary at this time. However, if at the time of excavation roots ~4cm or larger are encountered, excavation should be halted until the project arborist has had an opportunity to assess the situation and develop a plan to proceed. If these steps are taken, along with blasting precautions as discussed below, impact to retained trees is expected to be low-moderate.

Considering the existing trees and the proposed tree impact mitigation measures, no trees proposed for retention are expected to pose a high or extreme risk once the project is complete. If a risk assessment is desired upon project completion, the project arborist should be engaged at that time to assess risk once construction impacts have occurred.

Common and Latin Names

Grand fir – Abies grandies

Bigleaf maple – Acer macrophyllum

Red alder – Alnus rubra

Arbutus – *Arbutus menziesii*

Douglas fir – Pseudotsuga menziesii

Pacific willow – Salix lucida

Western red cedar – Thuja plicata

Tree Condition Ratings Summary

Health Condition:

- Poor significant signs of visible stress and/or decline that threaten the long-term survival of the specimen.
- Fair signs of stress.
- Good no visible signs of significant stress and/or only minor aesthetic issues.

Structural Condition:

- Poor Structural defects that have been in place for a long period of time to the point that mitigation measures are limited.
- Fair Structural concerns that are possible to mitigate through pruning.
- Good No visible or only minor structural flaws that require no to little pruning.

Species Relative Tolerance to Construction Impacts¹:

¹ Nelda P. Matheny and James R. Clark, *Trees and Development: A Technical Guide to Preservation of Trees during Land Development* (Champaign, Ill: International Soc. of Arboriculture, 1998).

Fir (Abies spp.) – Generally Moderate-good – "Tolerant of root loss."

Bigleaf maple – Good or Poor – "Select specimens with good crown structure. Tolerant of root pruning and injury but not fill." Or "Declines following addition of fill."

Red alder – Poor-moderate – "Retain only in groups or as individuals with strong taper and structure. Relatively short-lived. Intolerant to root injury."

Arbutus/Madrone - Poor - "Intolerant of site disturbance."

Douglas-fir – Poor-good – "Tolerant of fill soil if limited to one-quarter of root zone. However, may decline slowly following addition of fill. Tolerates root pruning. Intolerant of poor drainage. Susceptible to bark beetles following injury."

Willow (*Salix* spp.) – Moderate-good – "Moderately tolerant of root pruning and fill soil. Show considerable resistance to 'contactor pressures.'"

Western red cedar – Good or Poor-moderate – "Relatively windfirm. Intolerant of changes in water table/soil moisture." Or "Response is very site dependent, probably related to soil moisture. Intolerant of fill."

Tree Protection

Utilize Tree Protection Fencing (TPF) to restrict access to Tree Protection Zones. Provide signage on fencing which states: Tree Protection Area – No Admittance. Signage must be in a visible location attached to the fence. Signage must be attached to the outside of each Tree Protection Fencing area.

CTS strongly recommends the use of TPF along the edge of the undisturbed setbacks and any protected natural areas, especially at the start of grade changing activities. Contact CTS to mark locations for the Tree Protection Fencing. All Tree Protection Fencing should be installed in the locations indicated by CTS.

Each Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) should be vacated of all construction materials and/or equipment. At no time should the fencing be removed or modified unless the Project Arborist is contacted and approval given. In such cases the Project Arborist should assist fence removal and assess combined impacts which are required for construction completion.

Capital Tree Service 250-217-8370 – Three business days notice required.

Landing/Storage Area

All construction materials will be stored in areas identified as 'Landing/Storage' in site plans. These locations are indicated on the Site Plan.

Access

Site access points should be located away from retained trees wherever possible. Contractors and workers shall be made aware of the Tree Protection Zones and Measures in place. **Tree**Protection Zones and areas of the Site not under construction or within the Zone of Impact

will be strictly off limits. It is the responsibility of the Client to schedule a pre-job meeting with the Project Arborist to discuss Tree Protection Plans, Zones, and requirements.

Three business days notice required. Project Arborist. 250-217-8370

Root Assessment and Observation

CTS recommend the Project Arborist be on site for observation and assessment when working within the Protected Root Zone of any trees that are wished to be retained. If roots ~4cm or larger are encountered at the time of excavation, work should be stopped until the project arborist has been able to assess the situation and develop a plan to proceed.

Tree Pruning

Tree pruning required for access and egress, tree health and safety shall be performed by an International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) Certified Arborist without the use of climbing spurs. All tree pruning shall be performed in accordance with ANSI A-300 Standards for Tree Care Operations.

Blasting

The use of blasting for removal of rock may cause serious damage or death to nearby trees if not managed appropriately. CTS recommends the use of an expanding foam (e.g., Geobreak) to break the rock. If powder must be used, a low nitrogen and low velocity explosive should be utilized. Furthermore, we recommend the use of foam to strategically fracture the rock before using an excavator to breakup (using a hoe ram) and remove the rock near trees. It is critical that heavy matting is used to dampen shockwaves of explosives and ¾" plywood is used to protect (armour) close proximity retained trees area utilized. A removal plan for the rock will be developed with the blasting contractor and the Project Arborist. It is recommended that this plan is created prior to the blasting contractor providing a cost estimate.

Typical Excavation within PRZ Process Plan

- 1. Provide and schedule Project Arborist to assess site prior to construction.
- 2. Inventory and identify trees and hazards which could complicate excavation process.
- 3. Utilize hand tools and cutting equipment when large tree roots are anticipated.
- 4. When possible, utilize small, rubberized track excavation equipment which will reduce soil compaction.
- 5. Excavator operator must be well informed about dig site and goal to complete project.
- 6. Use shallow excavation sweeps across the site to establish a depth which roots can be easily identified. (3cm to 5cm in depth of soil for each sweep across the soil face)
- 7. Roots greater than 6cm in diameter shall be preserved and inspected by the Project Arborist. The project arborist will determine if roots should be pruned or cut.
- 8. All roots greater than 6cm in diameter should be identified and documented for project records.
- 9. Photos are highly recommended for documentation purposes.

Assessment of the site may expose further tree issues or conditions. If this occurs the project arborist will contact City Staff for further recommendations.

At completion of the project, the Project Arborist will confirm that any tree protection or remediation related deficiencies have been addressed by the owner and building contractor. Once all deficiencies (if any) have been remedied, the Project Arborist shall prepare a letter to the City of Langford confirming completion of the project.

Tree Protection Plan Summary

- i. Provide a detailed sign specifying that tree protection measures are in place and will be followed during the project. Fines will be posted for malicious acts and can be placed on individuals who disregard the tree protection plan and its guidelines. Signs will be placed at each entrance of the project detailing what is expected when working in potentially high impact tree protection zones.
- ii. Provide tree protection fencing for all trees identified with protection requirement in this report. This fencing shall be four (4ft) feet in height and made of orange plastic. If required, header and footer boards will be used to secure the protective fencing.
- iii. Tree protection and root protection signs will be placed on the fencing (see Appendix C). No entry will be allowed, unless specified by the Project Arborist and in their presence while on site.
- iv. Restrict vehicle traffic to designated access routes and travel lanes to avoid soil compaction and vegetation disturbances.
- v. Make all necessary precautions to prevent the storage of material, equipment, stockpiling of aggregate or excavated soils within tree protection areas. No dumping of fuels, oils or washing of concrete fluids will be allowed in tree protection zones.
- vi. Provide an onsite arborist when a risk of root damage, root cutting, or limb removal is required within the tree protection zone.
- vii. Avoid alterations to existing hydrological patterns to minimize vegetation impacts to the site.
- viii. The use of a Project Arborist is required to provide layout of tree protection zones. The Project Arborist(s) will provide pre-construction information to all parties involved with the project. The Project Arborist must be notified 72hrs prior to construction activities in sensitive areas. The Project Arborist should be used to provide root and branch pruning when diameters are greater than 6cm.

ix. At no time will tree protection zones be removed from the project unless approved by the Project Arborist

The following is a summary of key roles of the Project Arborist.

- Participation in a site meeting prior to the commencement of works adjacent to Tree
 Protection Zones to discuss the preservation plan and tree protection measures in
 place. It is the responsibility of the Client to schedule a pre-work site meeting. *72 hrs
 Notice Required. CTS 250-217-8370*
- The meeting will review the Tree Protection Plan, Tree Protection Zones and the specific measures required to protect the trees during the site preparation, construction, and landscape phases of construction.
- The Project Arborist will inspect the Tree Protection Fencing and any other tree
 protection measures prior to a tree permit being issued by the District and prior to
 work commencing on site.
- The Project Arborist will be on site during the following work within or immediately adjacent to the Tree Protection Areas as indicated on the attached Site Plan:
 - demolition
 - grading
 - excavation
 - rock removal or blasting
 - trenching for underground services and utilities
 - preparation of grade for the proposed driveways and parking areas
 - site inspections to insure adherence to Tree Protection Measures

Although this site has been assessed trees in the landscape are dynamic and changes could occur. This report is a static representation of the site during our assessment.

Keegan Durovich 2025-02-19

Capital Tree Service Inc.

ISA Certified Arborist TRAQ PN-9272A

B.A.Sc.

Capital Tree Service Inc. (CTS)

CONDITIONS OF ASSESSMENT AGREEMENT

This Conditions of Assessment Agreement is made pursuant to and as a provision of CTS, providing tree assessment services as agreed to between the parties, the terms and substance of which are incorporated in and made a part of this Agreement (collectively the "Services").

Trees are living organisms that are subject to stress and conditions and which inherently impose some degree or level of risk. Unless a tree is removed, the risk cannot be eliminated entirely. Tree conditions may also change over time even if there is no external evidence or manifestation. In that CTS provides the Services at a point in time utilizing applicable standard industry practices, any conclusions and recommendations provided are relevant only to the facts and conditions at the time the Services are performed. Given that CTS cannot predict or otherwise determine subsequent developments, CTS will not be liable for any such developments, acts, or conditions that occur including, but not limited to, decay, deterioration, or damage from any cause, insect infestation, acts of god or nature or otherwise. Unless otherwise stated in writing, assessments are performed visually from the ground on the aboveground portions of the tree(s). However, the outward appearance of trees may conceal defects. Therefore, to the extent permitted by law, CTS does not make and expressly disclaims any warranties or representations of any kind, express or implied, with respect to completeness or accuracy of the information contained in the reports or findings resulting from the Services beyond that expressly contracted for by CTS in writing, including, but not limited to, performing diagnosis or identifying hazards or conditions not within the scope of the Services or not readily discoverable using the methods applied pursuant to applicable standard industry practices. Further, CTS' liability for any claim, damage or loss caused by or related to the Services shall be limited to the work expressly contracted for. In performing the Services, CTS may have reviewed publicly available or other third- party records or conducted interviews and has assumed the genuineness of such documents and statements. CTS disclaims any liability for errors, omissions, or inaccuracies resulting from or contained in any information obtained from any third-party or publicly available source.

Except as agreed to between the parties prior to the Services being performed, the reports and recommendations resulting from the Services may not be used by any other party or for any other purpose. The undersigned also agrees, to the extent permitted by law, to protect, indemnify, defend and hold CTS harmless from and against any and all claims, demands, actions, rights and causes of action of every kind and nature, including actions for contribution or indemnity, that may hereafter at any time be asserted against CTS or another party, including, but not limited to, bodily injury or death or property damage arising in any manner from or in any way related to any disclaimers or limitations in this Agreement.

By accepting or using the Services, the customer will be deemed to have agreed to the terms of this Agreement, even if it is not signed.

| Acknowledged by: |
|--|
| Name of Customer: Dan Charboneau, 893 Klahanie Drive, Langford, BC V9C 3X2 |
| Authorized Signature: |
| Date: 2025-02-19 |

Appendix 'A' Tree Inventory

Table 1. Tree Inventory for 893 Klahanie Drive. Diameter at breast height (DBH) is measured in centimeters. Protected root zones (PRZ) are calculated using a 0.12 multiplier and represent the protected radius area around the tree in meters.

| prot | otected radius area around the tree in meters. Capital Tree Service Inc. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|-------------|------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Capital Tree Service Inc. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Appendix A - Tree Inventory/Hazard Ratings Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Locatio | ocation: 893 Klahanie Drive, Langford, BC V9C 3X2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Cor | nditions | Decemb | er 4, 2024 | | | | ting to 14NE December 5, 2024 - Cloudy, 4°C, 7km/hr NE , 8km/hr gusting to 17 NNE | | | | | | |
| Tag# | Species | DBH (cm) | PRZ (m) | Canopy (r) (m) | Health/ Structure | Bylaw Protected | Inventory Date | Action | Observations | | | | | | |
| 465 | Douglas fir | 41 | 5 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Stem deflections. Uneven canopy. | | | | | | |
| 464 | Douglas fir | 61 | 7 | 5 | F-P/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Dieback. Deadwood. Uneven canopy. Epicormics. Sweeping stem. | | | | | | |
| 463 | Douglas fir | 29 | 3 | 3 | F-P/G-F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Dieback. Deadwood. | | | | | | |
| 461 | Douglas fir | 42 | 5 | 4 | F/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Sweeping stem. Epicormics. | | | | | | |
| 612 | Douglas fir | 21 | 3 | - | Dead | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Dead | | | | | | |
| 460 | Grand fir | 40 | 5 | 4 | F/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | 2x stem ~5m above grade. Poor aspect ratio in union. Narrow angle of attachment. Dieback. Deadwood. Previous mech damage to basal stem. | | | | | | |
| 459 | Big leaf maple | 22 | 3 | 5 | F/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Poor pruning. Poor aspect ratio in unions. Deadwood. | | | | | | |
| 458 | Douglas fir | 59 | 7 | 5 | F-P/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. | | | | | | |
| 457 | Douglas fir | 26 | 3 | 3 | F/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Deformed top. Poor taper. | | | | | | |
| 456 | Douglas fir | 47 | 6 | 3 | F-P/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. | | | | | | |
| 455 | Douglas fir | 42 | 5 | 4 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Epicormics. Sweeping stem. | | | | | | |
| 454 | Douglas fir | 50 | 6 | 4 | F/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Sweeping stem. Deformed top (possibly topped). | | | | | | |
| 453 | Douglas fir | 55 | 7 | 5 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Dieback. 5cm+ deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Sparse foliar area. Swollen basal stem. | | | | | | |
| 452 | Douglas fir | 61 | 7 | 4 | F-P/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Dieback. 5cm+ deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Sparse foliar area. Swollen basal stem. | | | | | | |
| 451 | Douglas fir | 70 | 8 | 4 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Swollen basal stem. Sweeping stem. | | | | | | |
| 450 | Douglas fir | 38 | 5 | 4 | F-P/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Stem deflections. Dieback. Deadwood. Previously topped. Epicormics. | | | | | | |
| 449 | Douglas fir | 29 | 3 | 4 | F-P/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Stem deflections. Dieback. Deadwood. Previously topped. Sweeping stem. Epicormics. | | | | | | |
| 448 | Douglas fir | 52 | 6 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Stem deflections. Dieback. Scm+ deadwood. Epicormics. | | | | | | |
| 447 | Douglas fir | 23 | 3 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Sparse foliar area. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. | | | | | | |
| 446 | Douglas fir | 41 | 5 | 3 | F-P/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Sparse foliar area Phellinus sulphurascens suspected Gravel in PRZ. | | | | | | |
| 445 | Douglas fir | 24 | 3 | 3 | P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Sparse foliar area Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Gravel against stem. | | | | | | |

| 444 | Douglas fir | 30 | 4 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Gravel in PRZ. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
|------|-------------------|----|---|-------|---------|-----|----------|--------|---|
| 443 | Douglas fir | 29 | 3 | 4 | P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Cut ~30cm from stem. Sweeping stem. Dieback. Deadwood. Little foliar area. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 442 | Douglas fir | 44 | 5 | 3 | F-P/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Shallow rooted on cliff edge. Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Sweeping stem. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 441 | Douglas fir | 24 | 3 | 4 | F/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Poor live crown ratio. |
| 440A | Pacific willow | 21 | 3 | 4 | G/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | 2x stem ~1.3m above grade. Poor aspect ratio in union. Epicormics. Phototrophic. Uneven canopy. Mechanical damage to basal stem. Pruned for road clearance. |
| 440B | Pacific willow | 23 | 3 | 4 | G/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | 2x stem ~1.3m above grade. Poor aspect ratio in union. Epicormics. Phototrophic. Uneven canopy. Mechanical damage to basal stem. Pruned for road clearance. |
| 439 | Douglas fir | 24 | 3 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Poor live crown ratio. |
| 438 | Douglas fir | 33 | 4 | 4 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Sweeping stem at grade. Vines in canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 437 | Douglas fir | 42 | 5 | 4 | F-P/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Previously failed stem, phototrophic correction. Dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Little foliar area. |
| 436A | Big leaf maple | 26 | 3 | 5 | F/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Multiple stems. Previous construction ~1m from stem. Epicormics. Poor aspect ratio in unions. Dieback. Deadwood. |
| 436B | Big leaf maple | 29 | 3 | 5 | F/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Multiple stems. Previous construction ~1m from stem. Epicormics. Poor aspect ratio in unions. Dieback. Deadwood. |
| 436C | Big leaf maple | 20 | 2 | 5 | F/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Multiple stems. Previous construction ~1m from stem. Epicormics. Poor aspect ratio in unions. Dieback. Deadwood. |
| 435 | Douglas fir | 42 | 5 | 4 | F/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Sweeping lean. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 434 | Douglas fir | 28 | 3 | 3 | P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Shallow rooted on rock. Previous construct ~1m from stem. Blasting ~3m from stem. Dieback. Deadwood. Little foliar area. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 433 | Douglas fir | 66 | 8 | 6 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Leaning stem (uphill). Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 432 | Douglas fir | 66 | 8 | 8 | F-P/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Dieback. 5cm+ deadwood. Thinning. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Epicormics. Sparse foliar area. |
| 431 | Douglas fir | 39 | 5 | 3 | F-P/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Sparse foliar area. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 430 | Douglas fir | 28 | 3 | 5 | F-P/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Shared root plate with tree 429. Dieback. Deadwood. Sweeping stem. Thinning. |
| 429 | Douglas fir | 47 | 6 | 4 | F-P/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Shared root plate with tree 430. Dieback. Deadwood. Sweeping stem. Thinning. Epicormics. |
| 428 | Douglas fir | 43 | 5 | 4 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Thinning. Excavation ~3m from stem. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 427 | Douglas fir | 37 | 4 | 2 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Lean towards new road to north. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Excavation ~2m from stem. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 426 | Arbutus | 26 | 3 | 3 | F/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Lean towards new road to north. Dieback.10cm deadwood. Healthy foliar color. Possible internal decay. |
| 425 | Douglas fir | 23 | 3 | 1 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Sparse foliar area. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Poor taper. |
| 424 | Douglas fir | 38 | 5 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Dieback. Deadwood. Thinning. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 614 | Arbutus | 24 | 3 | 3 | F/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Poor aspect ratio in union ~1.5m above grade. 1 stem dead. Dieback. 10cm deadwood Epicormics. Phototrophic. |
| 615 | Douglas fir | 23 | 3 | 1 | P/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Dieback. Deadwood. Little foliar area. Sweeping stem. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 616 | Pacific willow | 26 | 3 | 3 | F/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Basal decay. Willow bracket. Poor aspect ratio in unions. Phototrophic lean. Uneven canopy. Deadwood. |
| 617 | Douglas fir | 20 | 2 | 5 | F/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Stem deflections. Uneven canopy. Thinning. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 618 | Douglas fir | 21 | 3 | 2 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | Sweeping stem at grade. Epicormics. Dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 619 | Douglas fir | 48 | 6 | 4 | F-P/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Swollen basal stem. Dieback. 5cm+ deadwood. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| - | . ——— | | | . ——— | . —— | | . ——— | . — | • |

| NITA | Western red | 67 | | | | ., | 45.04 | | Dead snag previously topped ~4m above grade. Located directly adjacent to existing |
|------|----------------------|----|---|---|---------|-----|----------|--------|--|
| NT1 | cedar | 67 | 8 | - | Dead | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | driveway. |
| 620 | Arbutus | 31 | 4 | 4 | F/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Phototrophic. Dieback. Mechanical damage to basal stem. Epicormics. Minor deadwood. Healthy foliar color. |
| 621 | Arbutus | 27 | 3 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Phototrophic. Dieback. Epicormics. Minor deadwood. Healthy foliar color |
| 622 | Douglas fir | 21 | 3 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Thinning. Minor deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Poor taper. |
| 623 | Douglas fir | 28 | 3 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Phototrophic. Epicormics. Minor deadwood. Poor aspect ratio in unions. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 624 | Douglas fir | 43 | 5 | 3 | F-P/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 625 | Douglas fir | 58 | 7 | 6 | F-P/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Hangers. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 626 | Douglas fir | 42 | 5 | 4 | F/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Uneven canopy. Over extended limbs. Missing top. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 627 | Western red cedar | 26 | 3 | 4 | F/G-F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Fill in PRZ. Minor thinning. Deadwood. |
| 628 | Western red cedar | 33 | 4 | 4 | F-P/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Buried root collar. Dieback. Deadwood. Flagging. Thinning. |
| 629 | Douglas fir | 55 | 7 | 5 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Fill in PRZ. Dieback. 5cm+ deadwood. Stem deflections. Thinning. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 630 | Grand fir | 45 | 5 | 5 | F-P/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Buried root collar. Dieback. Deadwood. Previously topped. Epicormics. |
| 631 | Western red cedar | 49 | 6 | 6 | F/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Buried root collar. Uneven canopy. Thinning. Flagging. |
| 632 | Arbutus | 35 | 4 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Partially buried root collar. Decay column from old limb. Minor deadwood. |
| 633A | Arbutus | 65 | 8 | 5 | F/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | 2 stem originating from old stump/ Basal decay suspected in both stems. Dieback. 10+cm Deadwood. Phototropism. |
| 663B | Arbutus | 42 | 5 | 5 | F/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | 3 stem originating from old stump/ Basal decay suspected in both stems. Dieback. 10+cm Deadwood. Phototropism. |
| 634 | Arbutus | 26 | 3 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Phototropism. Dieback. Deadwood. Little viable foliar area. Poor aspect ratio in unions. |
| 635 | Douglas fir | 35 | 4 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Chlorosis. Dieback. Deadwood. Uneven canopy. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 636 | Douglas fir | 48 | 6 | 4 | F-P/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Chlorosis. Dieback. Deadwood. Uneven canopy. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Cut ~1.5m from stem. |
| 637 | Douglas fir | 25 | 3 | 2 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Chlorosis. Uneven canopy. Epicormics. Stem deflections. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 638 | Arbutus | 20 | 2 | 2 | F/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Phototrophic lean. Dieback. Deadwood. Off centered canopy. |
| 639 | Douglas fir | 45 | 5 | 4 | F-P/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Uneven canopy. Chlorosis. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 640 | Douglas fir | 37 | 4 | 3 | F-P/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Chlorosis. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 641A | Arbutus | 20 | 2 | 3 | F/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | 3x stem ~0.4-1.3m above grade (1 stem less than 20cm). Poor aspect ratio in unions. Dieback. Deadwood. Minor blight. Phototrophic lean. |
| 641B | Arbutus | 26 | 3 | 3 | F/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Retain | 3x stem ~0.4-1.3m above grade (1 stem less than 20cm). Poor aspect ratio in unions. Dieback. Deadwood. Minor blight. Phototrophic lean. |
| 642 | Arbutus | 22 | 3 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Poor aspect ratio in unions. Dieback. 5cm+ deadwood. Minor blight. Phototropism. |
| 643 | Douglas fir | 78 | 9 | 6 | F/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Previously topped. Dieback. Slight stem deflections at grade. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 644 | Douglas fir | 29 | 3 | 4 | P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Sweeping stem. Dieback. Deadwood. Little foliar area. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 645 | Douglas fir | 42 | 5 | 4 | F-P/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. 5cm+deadwood. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 646 | Douglas fir | 51 | 6 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Chlorosis. Stem deflections. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| - | | | | - | • | | • | • | |

| 647A | Arbutus | 20 | 2 | 8 | F-P/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Basal decay. Cavities. Multiple stems near grade. Dieback. 10+cm deadwood. |
|------|----------------------|----|---|---|---------|-----|----------|--------|--|
| 647B | Arbutus | 62 | 7 | 8 | F-P/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Basal decay. Cavities. Multiple stems near grade. Dieback. 10+cm deadwood. |
| 647C | Arbutus | 42 | 5 | 8 | F-P/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Basal decay. Cavities. Multiple stems near grade. Dieback. 10+cm deadwood. |
| 647D | Arbutus | 32 | 4 | 8 | F-P/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Basal decay. Cavities. Multiple stems near grade. Dieback. 10+cm deadwood. |
| 648a | Big leaf maple | 20 | 2 | 6 | G-F/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | 4x stem (2 stems less than 20cm). Poor aspect ratio in unions. Narrow angle of attachment. Included bark. Seam. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. |
| 648b | Big leaf maple | 22 | 3 | 6 | G-F/P | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | 4x stem (2 stems less than 20cm). Poor aspect ratio in unions. Narrow angle of attachment. Included bark. Seam. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. |
| 649 | Arbutus | 20 | 2 | 2 | F/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Phototropism. Dieback. Deadwood. 1 stem previously removed. Poor aspect ratio in unions. |
| 650 | Western red cedar | 27 | 3 | 5 | F/F | Yes | 4-Dec-24 | Remove | Phototropism. Sweeping stem. Uneven canopy. Thinning canopy. |
| 651 | Western red cedar | 59 | 7 | 6 | F-P/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Thinning. Dieback. Uneven canopy. Mechanical damage to surface roots. |
| 652 | Douglas fir | 68 | 8 | 5 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Thinning. Dieback. Deadwood. Slight sweep in stem. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 653 | Douglas fir | 38 | 5 | 5 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Stem deflections at grade. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 654 | Douglas fir | 32 | 4 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Entirely surface rooted. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Sweeping stem. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 655 | Douglas fir | 38 | 5 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Sweeping stem. Dieback. Deadwood. Thinning canopy. Flat area on basal stem. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Epicormics. |
| 656 | Douglas fir | 26 | 3 | 3 | F/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Sweeping stem. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 657 | Western red cedar | 66 | 8 | 4 | G-F/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Previously topped ∼4m above grade. Schoolmarm. Flagging. |
| 658 | Western red cedar | 62 | 7 | 4 | F/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | 3x stem ~1.5m above grade. Poor aspect ratio in unions. Thinning. Deadwood. |
| 659A | Western red cedar | 53 | 6 | 5 | F/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | 2x stem ~1.3m above grade. Poor aspect ratio in unions. 1 stem previously topped. Flagging. Thinning. Deadwood. |
| 659B | Western red cedar | 56 | 7 | 5 | F/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | 2x stem ~1.3m above grade. Poor aspect ratio in unions. 1 stem previously topped. Flagging. Thinning. Deadwood. |
| 660 | Western red cedar | 22 | 3 | 5 | F/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Flagging. Over extended limbs. Thinning. Suppressed. |
| 661 | Western red cedar | 59 | 7 | 3 | P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dead top. Thinning. Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Flagging. |
| 662 | Western red cedar | 31 | 4 | 4 | F/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Sweeping stem. Epicormics. Codominant top. Poor aspect ratio in unions. Flagging. Dieback. Deadwood. |
| 663 | Douglas fir | 73 | 9 | 6 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. 5cm+ deadwood. Stem deflections. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 664 | Western red cedar | 79 | 9 | 6 | F/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Flagging. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Previous limb failures. |
| 665 | Western red cedar | 29 | 3 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Flagging. Deadwood. Epicormics. Poor pruning cuts. |
| 666 | Douglas fir | 72 | 9 | 5 | F/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 667 | Western red cedar | 38 | 5 | 5 | F/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Flagging. Minor deadwood. Epicormics. |
| 668 | Western red cedar | 61 | 7 | 5 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Flagging. Minor deadwood. Thinning. Epicormics. |
| 669 | Douglas fir | 61 | 7 | 5 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Sweeping stem. Missing top. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 670 | Douglas fir | 45 | 5 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 671 | Douglas fir | 23 | 3 | 2 | F-P/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Sweeping stem. Missing top. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| | | | | | | | l | l | 1 |

| 672 | Douglas fir | 27 | 3 | 2 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Poor taper. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
|-----|----------------------|----|----|----|---------|-----|----------|--------|--|
| 673 | Western red cedar | 72 | 9 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Internal decay. Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Flagging. |
| 674 | Douglas fir | 39 | 5 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Raised canopy. Poor live crown ratio. Previously topped. Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Stem deflections. |
| 675 | Arbutus | 47 | 6 | 10 | F/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | 2x stem 3m above grade. Dieback. 5cm+ deadwood. Epicormics. Phototrophic. Suspected internal decay. |
| 676 | Douglas fir | 27 | 3 | 3 | F/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Previously topped. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 677 | Douglas fir | 56 | 7 | 5 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Thinning. Dieback. 5cm+ deadwood. Epicormics. Codominant top. Poor aspect ratio in union. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 678 | Douglas fir | 47 | 6 | 6 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Stem deflections. Sweeping. Uneven canopy. Resinosis. Dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 679 | Douglas fir | 75 | 9 | 7 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Epicormics. Thinning. Sweeping stem. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 680 | Douglas fir | 29 | 3 | 4 | F/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Epicormics. Previously topped. Poor aspect ratio in unions. Thinning. Dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 681 | Douglas fir | 28 | 3 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Some chlorosis. Thinning. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 682 | Douglas fir | 80 | 10 | 3 | F/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Stem deflections. Uneven canopy. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 683 | Western red cedar | 22 | 3 | 3 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Internal decay. Dead top. Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Uneven canopy. Epicormics. |
| 684 | Grand fir | 46 | 6 | 4 | F/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Sweeping stem. Previously topped. Schoolmarm. Stem deflections. Dieback. Deadwood. |
| 685 | Douglas fir | 65 | 8 | 6 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Slight lean to north. Epicormics. Previously topped. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 686 | Douglas fir | 37 | 4 | 5 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Slight lean to north. Epicormics. Previously topped. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 687 | Douglas fir | 21 | 3 | 1 | P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Retain | Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Dead top. Chlorosis. Epicormics. Previously topped. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 688 | Douglas fir | 37 | 4 | - | Dead | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Retain | Dead snag. |
| 689 | Douglas fir | 50 | 6 | - | Dead | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dead snag. Lean towards site. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 690 | Douglas fir | 22 | 3 | 3 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Phototropic. Blasting ~3m from stem. Dieback. 5cm+ deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 691 | Douglas fir | 49 | 6 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Sweeping stem. Epicormics. Dieback. 5cm+ deadwood. Blasting 1m from CRZ. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 692 | Douglas fir | 69 | 8 | 5 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Sweeping stem. Epicormics. Dieback. 5cm+ deadwood. Blasting 1m from CRZ. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 693 | Douglas fir | 59 | 7 | 4 | F-P/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Epicormics. Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Previous blasting in PRZ. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 694 | Douglas fir | 60 | 7 | 8 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Stem deflections. Previously topped. Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 695 | Douglas fir | 37 | 4 | 4 | F-P/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Chlorosis. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 696 | Douglas fir | 50 | 6 | 4 | F-P/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Lean. Dieback. Deadwood. Thinning. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 697 | Douglas fir | 22 | 3 | 3 | F-P/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Poor taper. Dieback. Deadwood. Stem deflections on top. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 698 | Douglas fir | 45 | 5 | 4 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Sweeping stem. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 699 | Western red cedar | 20 | 2 | 2 | F/G | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Thinning. Understory. |
| 700 | Douglas fir | 51 | 6 | 5 | F-P/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Thinning. Dieback. Deadwood. Sweep in stem. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 701 | Douglas fir | 39 | 5 | 4 | F-P/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Thinning. Dieback. Deadwood. Sweep in stem. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| L | | | | | | | l | l | |

| | | | | | | | 1 | I | |
|------|----------------------|----|---|---|---------|-----|----------|--------|---|
| 702 | Douglas fir | 30 | 4 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Poor live crown ratio. Dieback. Deadwood. Uneven canopy. Epicormics. Poor taper. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 703 | Douglas fir | 51 | 6 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. 5cm+ deadwood. Sweeping stem. Epicormics. Thinning. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 704 | Douglas fir | 25 | 3 | 2 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Sweeping stem. Uneven canopy. Stem deflections. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 705 | Arbutus | 21 | 3 | 3 | F/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Phototropism. Failed root collar. Supported by offsite tree. Epicormics. |
| 706 | Douglas fir | 26 | 3 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Poor taper. Epicormics. Thinning. Sweeping stem. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 707 | Douglas fir | 36 | 4 | 4 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Chlorosis. Epicormics. Thinning. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 708 | Arbutus | 28 | 3 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Phototrophic lean. Offset canopy. Thinning. |
| 709 | Douglas fir | 25 | 3 | 2 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Poor taper. Uneven canopy. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 710 | Western red cedar | 30 | 4 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Flagging. Uneven canopy. |
| 711 | Douglas fir | 29 | 3 | 2 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Poor taper. Little foliar area. Uneven canopy. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 712 | Douglas fir | 49 | 6 | 5 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Previously topped. Thinning. Sweeping stem. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 713 | Western red cedar | 21 | 3 | - | Dead | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dead |
| 714 | Douglas fir | 58 | 7 | 6 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Epicormics. Dieback. Deadwood. Thinning. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 715A | Douglas fir | 32 | 4 | 2 | F/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | 2x stem ~0.2m above grade. Poor aspect ratio in union. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. 24cm stem dead. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 715B | Douglas fir | 24 | 3 | 2 | F/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | 2x stem ~0.2m above grade. Poor aspect ratio in union. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. 24cm stem dead. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 716 | Douglas fir | 20 | 2 | 2 | P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Understory. Previously topped. Little foliar area. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 717 | Douglas fir | 51 | 6 | 4 | F-P/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 718 | Douglas fir | 54 | 6 | 4 | F-P/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 719 | Western red cedar | 23 | 3 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Flagging. Slight stem deflections. |
| 720 | Douglas fir | 32 | 4 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 721 | Douglas fir | 30 | 4 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 722 | Douglas fir | 36 | 4 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Resinosis. Poor live crown ratio. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 723 | Douglas fir | 28 | 3 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 724 | Douglas fir | 26 | 3 | 2 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Resinosis. Poor live crown ratio. Previously topped. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 725 | Douglas fir | 22 | 3 | 2 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Stem deflections. Epicormics. Resinosis. Poor live crown ratio. Previously topped. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 726 | Western red cedar | 62 | 7 | 5 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Flagging. Sweeping stem at grade. Dieback. Deadwood. Possible internal decay. |
| 727 | Douglas fir | 49 | 6 | 4 | F/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Epicormics. Dieback. Deadwood. Poor live crown ratio. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 728 | Big leaf maple | 29 | 3 | 4 | F/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Phototrophic lean. |
| 729 | Douglas fir | 30 | 4 | 2 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Poor taper. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 730 | Douglas fir | 37 | 4 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Poor taper. Poor live crown ratio. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| | | | | | | | | · | 1 |

| 761 | Douglas fir | 20 | 2 | 2 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Poor taper. Epicormics. Understory tree. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
|----------|----------------------|----|----|---|---------|-----|----------|----------|---|
| 762 | Western red cedar | 40 | 5 | 3 | F/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Epicormics. Understory tree. Codominant top. Poor aspect ratio in union. |
| 763 | Douglas fir | 32 | 4 | 2 | F-P/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Poor taper. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Swollen basal stem. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 764 | Douglas fir | 32 | 4 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Poor taper. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Sweep in stem. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 765 | Douglas fir | 63 | 8 | 5 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Slight sweep in lean. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 766 | Western red cedar | 21 | 3 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Uneven canopy. Epicormics. Flagging. Understory tree. |
| 767 | Douglas fir | 38 | 5 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Uneven canopy. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 768 | Western red cedar | 23 | 3 | 3 | F/G-F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Epicormics. Dieback. Deadwood. Flagging. Uneven canopy. |
| 769 | Douglas fir | 36 | 4 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Epicormics. Dieback. Deadwood. Stem deflections. Uneven canopy. Swollen basal stem. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 770 | Douglas fir | 81 | 10 | 7 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Epicormics. Dieback. 5+cm Deadwood. Stem deflections. Thin canopy. Swollen basal stem. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 771 | Western red cedar | 23 | 3 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Epicormics. Dieback. Deadwood. Flagging. Thin canopy. Understory tree. |
| 772 | Western red cedar | 22 | 3 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Epicormics. Dieback. Deadwood. Flagging. Missing top. Thin canopy. Uneven canopy. |
| 773 | Douglas fir | 31 | 4 | 2 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Epicormics. Dieback. Deadwood. Sweeping stem. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 774 | Western red cedar | 27 | 3 | 3 | F/G | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Epicormics. Dieback. Deadwood. Understory tree. Flagging. |
| 775 | Douglas fir | 44 | 5 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Epicormics. Dieback. Deadwood. Poor live crown ratio. Poor taper. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 776 | Western red cedar | 22 | 3 | 2 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Epicormics. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Flagging. |
| 777 | Western red cedar | 27 | 3 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Epicormics. Dieback. Deadwood. Uneven canopy. Flagging |
| 778 | Western red cedar | 23 | 3 | 3 | F/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Epicormics. Dieback. Deadwood. Rooted on old failed root ball. Uneven canopy. Flagging. |
| 779 | Western red cedar | 21 | 3 | 1 | Dead | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dead. Rooted on old failed root ball. |
| 780 | Western red cedar | 28 | 3 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Epicormics. Dead top. Dieback. Deadwood. |
| 781 | Douglas fir | 45 | 5 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Epicormics. Chlorosis. Dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 782 | Douglas fir | 76 | 9 | 7 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Sunken area on basal stem. Epicormics. Sweeping stem. Dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 783 | Western red cedar | 35 | 4 | 4 | F-P/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dead top. Epicormics. Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Flagging. |
| 784 | Douglas fir | 58 | 7 | 7 | F/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Sweeping stem. Uneven canopy. Stem deflections. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 785 | Red alder | 20 | 2 | 3 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Stem deflections. Phototrophic lean. Epicormics. |
| 786 | Big leaf maple | 21 | 3 | 5 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Poor aspect ratio in unions. Stem deflections. Phototrophic lean. Epicormics. |
| 787 | Western red cedar | 23 | 3 | 3 | F-P/G-F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Flagging. Dieback. Deadwood. Thin foliar area. Slight lean. Epicormics. |
| 788 | Western red cedar | 37 | 4 | 2 | P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Significant top dieback. Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Little foliar area. Epicormics |
| 789 | Western red cedar | 26 | 3 | 2 | P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Significant top dieback. Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Little foliar area. Epicormics |
| 790 | Western red cedar | 32 | 4 | 2 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Top dieback. Dieback. 5+cm Deadwood. Limited foliar area. Epicormics. |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | | L | . | |

| 791 | Western red cedar | 35 | 4 | 3 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Top dieback. Dieback. 5+cm Deadwood. Limited foliar area Epicormics. |
|-----|----------------------|----|---|---|---------|-----|----------|--------|---|
| 792 | Grand fir | 38 | 5 | 3 | F-P/P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Uneven canopy. Sweeping stem. Epicormics. |
| 793 | Western red cedar | 36 | 4 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Thinning. Flagging. Uneven canopy. Stem deflections at grade. Epicormics. |
| 794 | Douglas fir | 43 | 5 | 5 | F/F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. 5+cm Deadwood. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Chlorosis. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 795 | Douglas fir | 32 | 4 | 2 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Stem deflections at grade. Uneven canopy. Chlorosis. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 796 | Douglas fir | 37 | 4 | 2 | F/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Stem deflections. Swollen basal stem. Seam. Poor live crown ratio. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 797 | Douglas fir | 39 | 5 | 4 | F/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Poor live crown ratio. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 798 | Western red cedar | 20 | 2 | 3 | F/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Poor live crown ratio. |
| 799 | Big leaf maple | 35 | 4 | 5 | F/F-P | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Stem deflections. |
| 800 | Western red cedar | 30 | 4 | 4 | F/G-F | Yes | 5-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Flagging |
| 801 | Douglas fir | 44 | 5 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Sweeping stem at grade. Dieback. Deadwood. Thinning. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 802 | Douglas fir | 25 | 3 | 3 | F-P/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Poor taper. Dieback. Deadwood. Understory tree. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 803 | Douglas fir | 46 | 6 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Sweeping stem. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 804 | Douglas fir | 29 | 3 | 2 | F-P/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 805 | Western red cedar | 21 | 3 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Minor dieback and thinning. Sparse canopy. Epicormics. Over extended branches. |
| 806 | Douglas fir | 21 | 3 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 807 | Western red cedar | 24 | 3 | 4 | F/G-F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Thinning. Minor deadwood. Flagging. Uneven canopy. Ober extended branches. Epicormics. |
| 808 | Douglas fir | 26 | 3 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Thinning. Deadwood. Poor taper. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 809 | Douglas fir | 51 | 6 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Sweeping stem. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 810 | Douglas fir | 33 | 4 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Sweeping stem. Thinning. Uneven canopy. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 811 | Douglas fir | 66 | 8 | 5 | F/F-P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Swollen basal stem. Stem deflections. Thinning. Resinosis. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 812 | Douglas fir | 64 | 8 | 5 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Swollen basal stem. Stem deflections. Thinning. Resinosis. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected |
| 813 | Douglas fir | 26 | 3 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Poor taper. Understory tree. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 814 | Douglas fir | 41 | 5 | 5 | F/F-P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Chlorosis. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 815 | Arbutus | 45 | 5 | 7 | F/P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Stem deflections. Internal decay. Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Blight. Phototrophic lean. Off centered canopy. |
| 816 | Douglas fir | 36 | 4 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Swollen basal stem. Epicormics. Dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 817 | Arbutus | 50 | 6 | 8 | F/F-P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Off centered canopy. Dieback. 5+cm Deadwood. Suspected basal decay. Blight |
| 818 | Douglas fir | 35 | 4 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 819 | Douglas fir | 37 | 4 | 3 | F-P/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 820 | Western red cedar | 36 | 4 | 5 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. |
| | . — | | | | . —— | | . ——— | . — | |

| 821 | Douglas fir | 57 | 7 | 5 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Resinosis. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
|------|----------------------|----|----|----|-------|-----|----------|--------|--|
| 822 | Western red cedar | 47 | 6 | 4 | G-F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Previously topped. Multiple codominant failures. Suspected decay. Healthy foliar color. |
| 823 | Western red cedar | 27 | 3 | 4 | F/P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Previously topped. Sweeping stem. Suspected decay. Minor dieback. Healthy foliar color. Embedded wire fence. |
| 1386 | Douglas fir | 73 | 9 | 8 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Stem deflections. Dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 824 | Douglas fir | 43 | 5 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Swollen basal stem. Stem deflections. Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 825 | Douglas fir | 66 | 8 | 5 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Stem deflections. Uneven canopy. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 826 | Douglas fir | 84 | 10 | 10 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 827 | Douglas fir | 87 | 10 | 9 | F-P/P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 828 | Big leaf maple | 36 | 4 | 5 | F-P/P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Previous topped. Sweeping stem. |
| 829 | Western red cedar | 44 | 5 | 4 | P/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Thin foliar area. Epicormics. |
| 830 | Western red cedar | 29 | 3 | 4 | F-P/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Thin foliar area. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. |
| 831 | Western red cedar | 27 | 3 | 4 | P/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Thin foliar area. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. |
| 832 | Western red cedar | 25 | 3 | 1 | Dead | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dead |
| 833 | Western red cedar | 25 | 3 | 2 | F-P/P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Top dieback. Epicormics. |
| 834 | Western red cedar | 26 | 3 | 1 | F-P/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Uneven canopy. |
| 835 | Western red cedar | 22 | 3 | 1 | Dead | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dead |
| 836 | Western red cedar | 33 | 4 | 3 | P/P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Top dieback. Epicormics. Thin foliar area. Uneven canopy. |
| 837 | Western red cedar | 26 | 3 | 3 | F-P/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Thin foliar area. Uneven canopy. |
| 838 | Western red cedar | 37 | 4 | 4 | F-P/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Thin Foliar area. Uneven canopy. |
| 839 | Western red cedar | 26 | 3 | 4 | F-P/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Thin foliar area. Uneven canopy. |
| 840 | Western red cedar | 30 | 4 | 2 | F/P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Top dieback. Epicormics. |
| 841 | Western red cedar | 34 | 4 | 3 | F-P/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Thin foliar area. Uneven canopy. |
| 842 | Western red cedar | 28 | 3 | 4 | F-P/P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Previously topped. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Flagging. Uneven canopy. |
| 843 | Western red cedar | 37 | 4 | 3 | F-P/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Flagging. Uneven canopy. |
| 844 | Western red cedar | 20 | 2 | 2 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Epicormics. Stem deflections. Dieback. Deadwood. |
| 845 | Western red cedar | 33 | 4 | 3 | F/G-F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Uneven canopy. Epicormics. Dieback. Deadwood. |
| 846 | Western red cedar | 26 | 3 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Stem deflections. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. |
| 847 | Western red cedar | 20 | 2 | 2 | P/F-P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Sweeping stem. Thin foliar area. Epicormics. Dieback. Deadwood. |
| 848 | Western red cedar | 23 | 3 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Retain | Uneven canopy. Understory tree. Epicormics. Dieback. Deadwood. |
| 849 | Western red cedar | 22 | 3 | - | Dead | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dead |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| 850 | Big leaf maple | 25 | 3 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Sunken line on basal stem. Stem deflections. Poor aspect ratio in unions. Dieback. 5+cm Deadwood. |
|------|----------------------|----|----|---|---------|-----|----------|--------|--|
| 851 | Western red cedar | 23 | 3 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | 2x stem ~0.3m above grade (1 stem less than 20cm). Flagging. Dieback. Deadwood. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Understory. |
| 852 | Douglas fir | 21 | 3 | 5 | F/P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Intertwined with 15cm tree. Previously topped. Dieback. Deadwood. Thinning foliar area. Poor aspect ratio in unions. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 853 | Douglas fir | 64 | 8 | - | Dead | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dead |
| 2834 | Douglas fir | 36 | 4 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Retain | Shared ownership? Uneven canopy. Slight sweep in stem at grade. Epicormics. Minor dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 2835 | Douglas fir | 26 | 3 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Retain | Shared ownership? Uneven canopy. Thin canopy area. Slight sweep in stem at grade. Epicormics. Minor dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 2836 | Douglas fir | 46 | 6 | 3 | F/P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Retain | Shared ownership? Sunken area on basal stem. Previously topped. Dieback. 5+cm Deadwood. Sweeping stem. Dieback. Deadwood. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 854 | Arbutus | 42 | 5 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Cavity at old limb location. ~1.4m above grade. Dieback. Deadwood. Poor aspect ratio in unions. Phototrophic lean. Minor blight and chlorosis. |
| 855 | Douglas fir | 21 | 3 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Cable around stem. Dieback. Deadwood. Thin foliar area. Juvenile tree. Uneven canopy. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 856 | Arbutus | 28 | 3 | 3 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Poor aspect ratio in unions. Phototrophic lean. Minor blight and chlorosis. |
| 2839 | Douglas fir | 30 | 4 | 3 | F/F-P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Retain | Shared ownership? Dieback. Deadwood. Likely previously topped. Thinning. Swollen basal stem. Uneven canopy. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 2841 | Douglas fir | 52 | 6 | 4 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Retain | Shared ownership? Dieback. Deadwood. Uneven canopy. Swollen basal stem. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 857 | Douglas fir | 25 | 3 | 3 | G-F/F-P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Deadwood. Missing top. Epicormics. Healthy foliar color. Uneven canopy. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 858 | Douglas fir | 31 | 4 | 3 | F-P/F-P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Dieback. Deadwood. Sweeping stem. Epicormics. Uneven canopy. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 859 | Douglas fir | 86 | 10 | 8 | F/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Sweeping stem. Some thinning. Healthy foliar color. Epicormics. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 860 | Douglas fir | 86 | 10 | 9 | F-P/P | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Remove | Located in tree pit. Epicormics. Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Previously topped. Codominant top. Poor aspect ratio in unions. Deflections. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |
| 861 | Douglas fir | 60 | 7 | 7 | F-P/F | Yes | 6-Dec-24 | Retain | Dieback. 10cm deadwood. Epicormics. Thinning. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. Phellinus sulphurascens suspected. |

Appendix 'B' Photos and Site Plan



Figure 1. Site Plan.



Figure 2. Property Frontage.



Figure 3. Front portion of lot. View from top of slope looking Southwest.



Figure 4. View from top of slope looking Northeast.



Figure 5. View from front of existing house towards the west.



Figure 6. Backyard. Looking from house to the east.



Figure 7. Trees North of house.



Figure 8. Eastern side of property. View from North side looking south.



Figure 9. Northern side of Property.



Figure 10. Northern portion of property. Approximate location of road access to the proposed development. View looking north.



Figure 11. Western View from off the northeastern property corner.



Figure 12. Eastern portion of property.



Figure 13. Southeastern portion property.



Figure 14. Southern property line. East of house.



Figure 14. Southern property line. By house.